PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY						
To: see form PCT/ISA/220					C'D PCT	
				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
		•			PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)	
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) see	form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)	
	Applicant's or agent's file reference			FOR FURTHER ACTION		
	see form PCT/ISA/220			See paragraph 2 below		
	ternational application No. PCT/GB2005/001245		International filing date (c 30.03.2005	lay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 01.04.2004	
	International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B64C25/36					
App	licant					
DU	NLOP AEROSPA	ACE LIMITED				
	-					
1.	This opinion co	ontains indicatio	ons relating to the follo	wing itomo:	·	
	_		_	wing items.	•	
	⊠ Box No. I	Basis of the op	inion			
ı	☐ Box No. II	Priority				
	☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention			rd to novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability	
	⊠ Box No. V	applicability; cit	ations and explanations	1(a)(i) with regard to r supporting such state	novelty, inventive step or industrial ment	
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docume		,	•	
	☐ Box No. VII		in the international appl			
	□ Box No. VIII	Certain observa	ations on the internationa	al application		
2.	FURTHER ACTI	ON			·	
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.					
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.					
	For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
3.	For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.					
	. •					
		·				

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 **Authorized Officer**

Monica S. O. Navarro

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7376



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/001245

_							
_	Box	No. I	Basis of the opinion				
1	. With	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
		ianyu	ppinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following age , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search r Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	With	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. type of material:						
		as	sequence listing				
		tab	ele(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. for	b. format of material:					
		in v	written format				
		in (computer readable form				
	c. time of filing/furnishing:						
		cor	ntained in the international application as filed.				
		file	d together with the international application in computer readable form.				
		furr	nished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.	C	opies	ition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto en filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as triate, were furnished.				
ļ.	Additi	Additional comments:					

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/001245

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bls.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Ţ

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

3-5,8-11

No: Claims

1,2,6,7

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No:

Claims

3-5,8-11

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-11

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

1 Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: EP 0 445 851 A D2: US 3 915 266 A D3: US 5 471 361 A

2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

An aircraft wheel assembly including an axle housing means (30) for sensing wheel speed, one end of the axle being covered by a cap member (60), the cap member (60) comprising a generally cup-like body having an end wall (76) towards the free end of the axle, the cap (60) including means for driving the wheel speed sensing means (30), the side wall of the body having at least one stiffening deformation (see fig. 4-10, column 3, line 26-column 5, line 34).

3 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 11

- The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject matter of claim 11 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3)PCT.
- Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art to the subject matter of claim 11, discloses a hubcap for an aircraft wheel assembly with all the features of claim 11, except for the tyre pressure sensing means. However, document D3 shows an aircraft wheel with a tyre pressure indicating

system (TPIS)(see figs., column 1, line 8-column 3, line 57).

Therefore the features disclosed in D1 and D3 would be combined by the skilled person, without exercise of any inventive skills in order to solve the problem posed. The proposed solution in independent claim 11 thus cannot be considered inventive (Article 33(3) PCT).

4 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-10

- 4.1 The additional features of dependent claims 2, 6 and 7 are already known from D1. The additional features of dependent claims 3-5, 8-10 would merely seem to concern slight constructional changes, which come within the scope of the costumary practice followed by persons skilled in the art.

 Therefore, dependent claims 2-10 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).
- The features of the claims should be provided with reference signs placed in parentheses to increase the intelligibility of the claims (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).
- A new, independent claim 1 should be formulated in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, with the preamble based on the disclosure of D1.
- Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document D1 is not mentioned in the description, nor is this document identified therein.